Difficult to Transcribe plus Confusion

It takes awhile to be able to come to final conclusion one information obtain, and utilized is a lot of cross referencing, not on how I would do things today but in the manner which things were done when the record was taken.

Kris Kobialka, the archivist of First Church of Salem had given a lot of assistance in acquiring the photo, and help me learn a lot at the time. We put it into the proper lighting. The first part of the on of the published transcription is on line. Though there are other transcriptions, this is the only one which had the name "Eliot" in it whether proper or not.

Dad, brought to the attention the transcription when at Mesa LDS Family History Library, an you brought it to my attentions also.

Want to thank Linda Elliott for keeping on me about it.

The records of the First Church in Salem, Massachusetts, 1629-1736
(upper left corner of page 39, 1688)

It should be noted; above Mary Lambert then of El??t, below that it reads; of Elizab Nurse, though the of does match with the point above it does not match with the point in the below. The letters between the El and the t seem to appear to be vowels; a, o, e and/or i.
The Records of the First Church in Salem

Nov. 20 Benjamin of Jo. Chaplin Miles
Mary James
Benjamin of Zack. Marsh
Dec. 4 Sara Putnam at age
[A. Elizabeth] of T[homas] Ives
25 Josiah of Joseph Horne
Samuel of Samuel Putnam
1688
This year Mary of Sarah Elkins was
Baptized about February
Apr. 15 Caleb of Richard More
Jonathan of Samuel Bedle
June Enos of Ruth Bateman
Debra formerly Knight
July 1 Deliverance of Thomas Putman
Joseph of John Putman junior
Elizabeth of Mr. Walcot
17 Robert of Mr. Kichin
Ruth of Mr. Daniel Eps
George of Mr. Hauhorn
29 John of Mrs. Hitty Atwater
Aug. Peter of P. Cheever
Samuel of Mrs. Barton
Samuel of [Benjamin] Putman
Sara of Janet Rixe
Sept. Elizabeth Nurse at age
Benjamin of Joseph Phipeny
Elizabeth of Benjamin Pitman
Margaret of Deliverance Parkman
Oct. Bartholomew of John Putman
[A. Abigal] of Benjamin Wilkins
Elizabeth of J. and Deborah
John of Masters
2 children of Charles Steward

[39]
Nov. Elizabeth of Mary Lambert
Mary Daniel

Dec. Elizabeth
Samuel
Sarah
Jonathan Joseph of Elizabeth Nurse
Benjamin
Hannah
John of Nehemia Willowby
Feb. 6 Atwood of Samuel Pitman
Gideon of Samuel Woodwell
Sarah John of Jo. Pickering

1689
Mar. Benjamin of Thomas Bedel
Thomas of Samuel Golthalt
Estick of Edward Bush
Benjamin of H. Striker
Apr. Mary
Elizabeth
John
Hannah
Stephen
Rachel

May Benjamin of [John] Herbert
John of John Southrick
Edward of Ely Giles
Margaret of Mary (Will) Smith
Elizabeth of David Phipeny
Thomas of Thomas Westgate
Mary
Elizabeth of Eli Keisar
Ann of Benjamin Geerish
Debra of John Tomkins
Christian of Samuel Dutch
Hanna Stone baptized at age
July Elizabeth of Mr. Hauhorn
14 David of Jo. Maskal
Magdalen of [Thomas] Elkin

1Wheatland reads of same, VII, 127. The Lamberts were fishermen and mariners. Cf. Perley II, 236.
2I.e., William was the husband of Mary Smith.
3Struck over Ingersol.
4Torn.
On line; the First Church in Salem had made The records of the First Church in Salem, Massachusetts, 1629-1736 available pages 3-83 and the index.

Pages 3-83  
http://firstchurchinsalem.org/documents/Original_Record_Book_3-83.pdf
Index  
http://firstchurchinsalem.org/documents/Original_Record_Book_Index.pdf

In scanning through the given records, the only time I found a lady of man with three names, first, middle and last, when it was transcribed Mary Lambert Eliot.

The way it is felt to be read is;

Elizabeth Mary Lambert  
Mary of Eliot
Daniel

A lot of credit would have to be given to the transcriber to obtain Eliot from El??t. Kris Kobialka, the archivist of First Church of Salem is to be given a lot of credit showing me at least another transcription which did not bring about the name Eliot. So the transcriber Richard Donald Pierce (note; a middle name) of the Essex Institute has to be highly qualified.

It should be noted that if a ladies name was list it was proceeded by of, or followed by an at age.

Only one male name; Ebenezer Foster did I find without being proceeded by an of or followed by an at age.

The Records of the First Church in Salem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Apr.</th>
<th>James of James</th>
<th>Rixe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>Ephraim of Henry</td>
<td>Skery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>Lydia of Jon Putman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>Mary of Homan Sisters at age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 24</td>
<td>Sarah Pickering at age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>———— Pickering</td>
<td>juniors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>James of Mr. Ruck</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hanna of Stacy of Cambridget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susanna Prince at age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susanna, her child</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mary of John Horton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abigail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>George</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elizabeth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antipas of John Swinnerton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Margaret of Mr. Jonathan Corwin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>———— of Sara Shipeny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steven of Steven Sewel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susanna of Ezekiel Waters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1686</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thomas of Isack Foot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is felt that in 1686 he may have been of an older age, and they did not need to verify of what age he married at.

The above shows Ebenezer son of John and Martha (Foster), in the Salem vitals as being born Aug. 5, 1677.

So in 1685 this would make him (1686-1677) nineteen.
The above shows that Daniel Lambert married a Mary Graye, born 1661. Noted; the above mentioned Edmund Bridges likely the son of Edmund Bridges which married Sarah Town Bridges Cloyse.

So this would make Mary Lambert having no of, and would be quite a bit past age to have an at age. This makes Mary Lambert (1688-1661) at the age of 27 which would not need an at age for baptism.

It should be note a character which proceeds Mary Lambert's name looks like an arc over k, and sine the feather pen did not spell out El??t so well it may be argued that this represents the word of and the word of was spelled below, which would put it in question. It should be noted in this transcription at age was not used.
It should be noted, middle or maiden names were found only used on Mary Lambert Eliot, all other names at most were dual first and surname.

Difficult time of finding what at age of baptism meant;

The Puritan age and rule in the colony of the Massachusetts Bay, 1629-1685 (1888)

Author: Ellis, George Edward, 1814-1894
Subject: Massachusetts -- History
Publisher: Boston, Houghton
NOT_IN_COPYRIGHT
Call number: AEW-9559

maybe one of the links will get through.

+infant&source=bl&ots= fYZm3OvYy&sig=C_Hk0K0Q9L_Lhz5hpe9a8Vbu5wg&hl=en&sa =X&ei=q_cBUMOfoCoaC2gWDqrmDCw&ved=0CE0Q6AEWAA#v=onepage&q=baptism
%20age%20Puritan%20MA%20%20infant&f=false

http://www.archive.org/stream/puritanagerulein00elliuoft/puritanagerulein00elliuoft_djvu.txt

Has a long explanation; but it is felt at age means not an infant.
What are the ages of people getting married?

Samuel of Mrs Barton;
Samuel is about a year old then Daniel Elliot of the testimony.

Not listed as *Sister* (felt to mean a female widow a member of the church).
Of Peter Cloyse;

3 2 1677

Aug.

James and Mary, of Mr. Lindall
Samuel of Jo. Leech
Peter
Hanna
Abigail
Mary

of Peter Clois of Yorke

The above record shows Peter Cloyse of York (County? Wells), was in Salem in 1677.

1679

Mar. 10 Richard of Sister Williams
James of Peter Cloye
Mary of Sister Bedle

Apr. 7 Abigail of Sister Willowby
Sarah of Brother Batter
Margaret of Jon[athan] Corwin

James of Peter Cloyse, is the felt where the name James of Daniel Elliot of the testimony came from.
Average age men 26, women 23. Marriage unarranged.

Marriage arranged.

Women married between the ages of 20 and 23.
Marriage of women in 1619, about 23 for women, 26 for men.

It is felt that the proper transcription would be Mary Lambert, then Elizabeth Mary, and Daniel of Eliot.
In Conclusion;

It is felt that the son Daniel was named after the father, Daniel Elliot. Since there is not any at age, appearing in the transcription, it is felt they are not infants.

Since Daniel of the testimony was estimated to be born in 1665 in 1688 he would be (1688-1665), would be twenty-three. The age of his sisters Elizabeth and Mary would be about the same. The above indicates they were not married at the time. Given the age of marriages during the day this would be highly likely.

Though to have the name Mary Lambert appear in a grouping which contains a Mary would be indicative of some relation between names. A connection is also given between the Bridges and Lamberts which Daniel Elliot of the testimony step mother-in-law was Sarah (Town, Bridges) Cloyse.

One concept which was tested. The concept of Mary Lambert being a Mary (Eliot) Lambert, where the Mary of Eliot was this Mary Lambert, because of the age of the sisters it was felt one may have been married. This seem to fall through when it was looked at, and it was found that Daniel Lambert married a Mary Graye.

It should be noted that Hannah wife of Daniel was named after her mother. It is very likely that immigrant Daniel married an Elizabeth or a Mary. It is felt because Eliz Elliot has a ring to it that the Mary may more likely be the name.

Would have a tendency to look in both Boston and Salem for the name.

Mark Elliott any questions or comments melliott.nm@gmail.com 7/14/2012
Adding to the Confusion;

6. **Daniel Lambert**, born Oct. 3, 1658, was also a shipwright, and there was an unidentified Daniel of Sweet's Cove, Salem, engaged in the same trade about 1663. He bought a lot of Jonathan Neale of Salem, cordwinder, for £10:15, and built a house upon it, in 1682, and in 1686 he had also bought a wharf, when he bought more land adjoining from John Ruck. In 1691 he bought a small plot of Samuel Woodwell of Salem, glover, and the next year still more of John Ruck, adjoining his own land, "next ye King's High Way." That same year he and William Smith, tailor, exchanged houses, "the exchange whereof one with the other will be Accomodable & Advantagious to them in there respective Occupacions." Dec. 31, 1692, he sold to Capt. John Legg, Mr. William Hirstt, Mr. John Turner and Stephen Sewall, one-fourth part "in ye Hull or body of ye Barke Ollieulbranch with all her Masts yards boat and Carpenter worke." The next year he bought more land of John Ruck and also sold some adjoining his own to James Rix.

He married, first, June 5, 1682, Mary, born Apr. 3, 1661, daughter of Robert and Elizabeth Graye of Salem and later of Andover; she died before 1693, and he married, second, Elizabeth Crourer (Croade), widow of Edmund Bridges, who survived him, as administration on his estate was granted her Dec. 22, 1695. She was still a widow in 1710, and was living as late as 1724.

The inventory of his estate, Nov. 4, 1695, includes "putting the child out to nurse, Daniel Lambert's schooling, keeping four children and Samuel Lambert's schooling, and the net real and personal estate was £187:16:17. The division was made, 1/3 to the widow for life and to revert to the children, Daniel, eldest son, Samuel, Joseph, Mary, Elizabeth, Rachel and Abiah. Samuel was to make
It should be noted the Mary, Daniel and Elizabeth are children of Mary and Daniel Lambert, and born before 1688. At their ages they would not be considered at age.
Genealogy of the Swasey family: which includes the descendants of the Swezey ...
By Benjamin Franklin Swasey

8. SAMUEL SWASEY, shipwright (Joseph3, Joseph2, John1). Bapt. in Salem, Mass., July 14, 1682; d. in S., 1739; pub. in Salem, Dec. 30, 1710, (Salem ch. records); m. in Boston, Mass., Jan. 16, 1711, by Rev. Cotton Mather (Boston records), Miss Ammi Ayers, daughter of Nathaniel Ayers of Boston.

He is mentioned both in Boston and Salem as shipbuilder. On Feb. 20, 1709-10, he bought of Mary and Elisabeth Lambert of Boston, heirs of Daniel Lambert of Salem, their interest in their father's estate including house and lot, building yard, wharf, etc. In 1710 he bought the interest of Daniel Lambert, Jr., and also of John Lambert, Jr., fisherman. In 1742 his widow bought the remainder of the Lambert estate. He also bought extensive tracts of land including salt marshes in the Southfield.

The above indicates that Mary and Elisabeth Lambert were heirs to the estate of Daniel Lambert. With Mary and Elisabeth having the Lambert name it is likely they did not get married.

It is important to note that Daniel Lambert was alive in 1688, so it is felt that the child should be listed as of Daniel Lambert or just of Lambert, because it is felt the father would be indicated some how.

It is felt the infant baptist existed amongst Puritans but the question had been brought to trial in Salem. There was reported infant baptism among the Puritans.

It could be that Eliot was crossed out an Mary Lambert written above, with the mariner husband Daniel out of town, Mary's name was used.

Mark Elliott 7/14/2012
The Records of the First Church in Salem

   Mary of ———— Flendor
   Mary of Hanna Homes
   George of Hanna Homes
   Preserved of Thomas Maskal
   Samuel of Mr. Hathorn
   Nov. 9. Herbert of Mr. Jon. Corwin
   Jan. Samuel of Benjamin Mayfield
      Jonathan of John Maskall
   1691
   Mar. Mary Catin² at age
   and her three children
   Susanna, Mary, Daniel Kaiton
   Apr. Margaret of Mr. Eps
      Isock of Bethia Hacker
   Preserved of Daniel Lambert
   1692
   Apr. Mary of Joseph Putman

The Records of the First Church in Salem

Bridget
Samuel
Benjamin
Sara
Samuel
Rachel
Joshua of Joshua Grafton
Samuel of Eli Giles

Children, born in Salem*:

16. Elizabeth, b. Apr. 9, 1684.
18. Samuel, b. Feb. 6, 1688; d. young.

Child, by second wife:

23. Abiah.

*In the files of the Supreme Judicial Court for Suffolk County appears the following:
Shows that Joseph, Preserve, Samuel and Rachael of Daniel Lambert had child baptisms. So Mary, Elizabeth and Daniel would likely have child baptism. It is now felt by me that it may have been first of Elliot because Daniel Elliot had a son name Daniel, but Elliot was crossed out and Mary Lambert put above as a correction. Where Daniel Lambert was used for the rest of the children for baptism.

The arced K may be the initial for the change.

Mark Elliot       7/15/2012
Clarification;
Writing this for clarification of what are felt the tentative conclusion I have.

Not an expert on baptisms or mothers having children baptized so would like to have input from anyone closely classified to the manner. But it is felt providing the analysis.

First of all like to give;

The children of Daniel and Mary Lambert.
Baptisms of First Church in Salem.

1692

Apr. Mary of Joseph Putman
Joseph of Daniel Lambert
John of Thomas Bedel
Mary
Samuel \{ of Samuel and Mary Elson
Sarah
Thomas of Thomas Mascal
Elizabeth of Edward Norris
Robart
William \{ of Samuel Prince
May Ebenezer of [William] Gill

Note; Joseph of Daniel Lambert.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 9</td>
<td>Preserved of [Thomast]' Maskal</td>
<td>Samuel of Mr. Hauthorn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>Herbert of Mr. Jon. Corwin</td>
<td>Susanna of Susanna Maston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan.</td>
<td>Samuel of Benjamin Mayfield</td>
<td>Benjamin of Samuel Woodwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jonathan of John Maskall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1691</td>
<td>Mary Catin² at age and her three children</td>
<td>Mary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susanna, Mary, Daniel Kaiton</td>
<td>Richard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr.</td>
<td>Margaret of Mr. Eq</td>
<td>Mary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Isack of Bethia Hacker</td>
<td>Isack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preserved of Daniel Lambert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 6</td>
<td>Joseph of Jo. Sutherick</td>
<td>Hanna of Hugh Pasco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Susanna of Captain Steven Sewell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[Jehoodan]' of Jehodan Ward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abigail Day at age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Susanna of Susanna Maston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Elizabeth of ______ Stevens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mary Chevary, at age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Benjamin of Samuel Woodwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Richard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mary of Isak Foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar.</td>
<td></td>
<td>[Abigail³] of [Judith]' Pudny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1692</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr.</td>
<td>Mary of Joseph Putman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Preserved of Daniel Lambert

32 1677

Aug. James and Mary, of Mr. Lindall
Samuel of Jo. Leech
Peter
Hanna
Abigail
Mary

Note: Peter, Hanna Abigail and Mary of Peter Clois of Yorke (Wells, York County, Maine).
Note; James of Peter Cloyse (not listed as of Yorke).

It should be noted; Hannah Cloyse was baptized into The First Church of Salem in 1677, so she had been part of the First Church of Salem for at least ten years before it was when she, and her husband Daniel Elliot came to Salem.
Now Given;

It should be noted that El?t or El??t, is enough which I feel is some form of Elliot. Elet the word you gave is used for the example.

The above is basically the way it is felt to be written the first place.

Then it is felt that the recorder was in error. It could have been the familiarity with the Elliot family, and that Daniel Elet had a son Daniel also. It should be noted not to confuse with three generations of Daniel Elliot, do not know for sure Daniel immigrant was living in Salem at the time, but we do know Daniel of the testimony and his son Daniel (a child) was there at the
time. One of the sons being baptized was a Daniel, so it was felt that the father was a Daniel so the original recording was of Ellet, because it is felt the only Daniel with a son to be baptize was a Daniel Ellet.

Then it was found that the mother was Mary Lambert, without may be knowing the mariner father Daniel Lambert at sea, so a correction had to be made.

So first Ellet was cross through.
Then the change was initialed/marked by the one making the change.

And then the correct name was written above;
The above shows the cross out, the initial/mark, and correction.

Would appreciate any questions, or comments.

An sure appreciated the one from Linda Elliott

**First Church (Salem, Mass.), Richard Donald Pierce - 1974 - 421 pages**
Richard Donald Pierce (February 5, 1915-August 1, 1973) was a minister, librarian, scholar, editor, Professor of History and Religion, and Dean of Emerson College in Boston, Massachusetts.
http://www25.uua.org/uuhs/duub/articles/richardpierce.html
At publication the author was deceased, and it would be others which bestowed a middle name preference.

It should be noted; was shown by Kris Kobialka First Church in Salem archivist that on at another transcription the name or any variation of the name Elliot was not used.

It is felt it may be likely that Mary Lambert had her first three children baptized on her own the infant baptisms were not felt condoned by The First Church in Salem in 1688. Loosing a son Samuel born and died in 1688, she may have wished Samuel was baptized before he died. After the death of her son Samuel, The First Church in Salem may have allowed her first three the others not born at the time to be baptized.

Mark Elliott melliott.nm@gmail.com 7/19/2012