

Directors, 11/8/2013

Could you foreword it to people who may know realtor, she does not seem to understand, that we do not pay for fraudulent termite care when none is needed.

On the morning of Nov 7, 2013, I had the greatest of opportunities to meet a very honest man in the termite and pest control industry. His name is **Robert Nagtalon**, Service Coordinator, he works for Truly Nolen Pest Control.

<b>ARIZONA STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL COMMISSION</b> <b>WOOD-DESTROYING INSECT INSPECTION REPORT</b>  <b>THIS IS NOT A FUNGI/MOLD INSPECTION REPORT</b>	A. VA/HUD/FHA CASE NUMBER	2. DATE OF INSPECTION <b>7/17/2007</b>
	1B. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ORIGINAL REPORT <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT	1D. WDIIR # <b>56754767657</b>
	C. PURPOSE OF REPORT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sale <input type="checkbox"/> Refinancing <input type="checkbox"/> Other	1E. TARF #
<b>IT IS NOT ALWAYS POSSIBLE TO DETECT EVIDENCE OF WOOD-DESTROYING INSECT INFESTATION. READ THIS INSPECTION REPORT IN ITS ENTIRETY</b> <b>READ THESE INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM</b> 1. The VA case number or HUD/FHA case number shall be inserted in Item 1 by the lender or pest control company. 2. When treatment is indicated in Item 8C, the insects treated will be named and the date, an application method and chemicals shall be entered in Item 10. Proper control measures may include issue of warranty. Warranty information should also be entered in item 10. Proper control measures are those which follow acceptable industry practices. 3. If visible evidence is found, wood-destroying insects causing such evidence will be listed in Item 8A and visible damage resulting from such infestation will be noted in Item 8D. 4. Areas that were inaccessible or obstructed (Item 7) may include, but are not limited to, wall coverings, fixed ceilings, floor coverings and furniture or stored articles. In Item 7, the inspector should list those obstructions or areas which inhibited the inspection. 5. Item 8A alone is checked when evidence/insects are found but no control measures are performed. Items 8A and 8C are checked when evidence/insects are found AND control measures are performed. 6. Visible evidence of conditions conducive to infestation from subterranean termites shall be reported on the second page of this form (earth-wood contact, faulty grades, insufficient ventilation, etc.). 7. Any supplemental reports must be done within 30 days of the original report.		
3A. NAME OF INSPECTION COMPANY <b>ABC Pest Group</b>	5A. NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER/SELLER <b>Rusty Jordan</b>	
3B. ADDRESS OF INSPECTION COMPANY (Include ZIP Code) <b>PO Box 2831</b> <b>Phoenix AZ 85040</b>	5B. ADDRESS OF PROPERTY (Street, City, ZIP) <b>9830 Cornell Avenue</b> <b>Phoenix AZ 85040</b>	
3C. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) <b>(999) 555-0000</b>	4. BUSINESS LICENSE # <b>1234567890</b>	5C. STRUCTURE(S) INSPECTED <b>House with attached garage</b>
6B. STRUCTURES NOT INSPECTED <b>Frame Utility Building</b>		
<b>7-10 FINDINGS</b>		
7. THIS INSPECTION DOES NOT INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING LISTED AREAS WHICH ARE OBSTRUCTED OR INACCESSIBLE. (See also Item 19, page 2) <b>Attic, crawl &amp; garage</b>		
8. BASED ON CAREFUL VISUAL INSPECTION OF THE READILY ACCESSIBLE AREAS OF THE PROPERTY (See Item 11A before completing): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A. Visible evidence of wood-destroying insects was observed Evidence observed: _____ Insects observed: <b>Subterranean Termites &amp; Powderpost Beetles</b> <input type="checkbox"/> B. No visible evidence of infestation from wood-destroying insects was observed <input type="checkbox"/> C. Visible evidence of infestation as noted in 8A. Proper control measures were performed on (date): <b>7/5/2006</b> <input type="checkbox"/> D. Visible damage due to <b>Subterranean Termites</b> was observed in the following areas: <b>On morning of 11/7/2013 Robert Nagtalon stated there was no place</b> <b>This form does not allow for the inspector to state active or inactive. (page 2) for active or inactive as</b> <input type="checkbox"/> E. Visible evidence of previous treatment was observed. List evidence. (See also Item 20, page 2) <b>shown, but they were inactive.</b>		

Stated on the WDI; Wood Destroying Insect Inspection Report, that he would have to report the holes, of the termites, but is not allowed on the form to state as the holes were inactive. Being barred from stating they were inactive, the default would be active, and this concluded that someone would have to pay for termite control for were there was no termites to be shown.

The termite industry in not allowing inactive to be on the WDI Form is making itself extract likely in the millions of dollars for unneeded termite treatments houses are sold in Arizona.

To request to pay for termite treatment is like the mafia extracting money from my folks. It's criminal.

Sat in court one time when a prosecutor defending photo speed radar said clocked 56 mph, posted 45 mph, accuracy +/-1 mph and that I was going 11 mph or more over the speed limit, the speed needed use photo radar. I said 56 clocked - 1 accuracy = 55 - 45 posted = 10, and the magistrate said I was correct, but the State of Arizona did not correct the trigger speed, or rein burst people for falsely charged tickets. This machine is skimming an extra million a year, like the mob did in Vegas.

Case Information				
Case Number:	M-0447-SP-2011000660		Category:	Traffic
Title:	ST OF AZ VS ELLIOTT MARK S		Filing Date:	01/14/2011
Court:	Star Valley Municipal		Disposition Date:	03/02/2011
Judge:	None			

MARK S ELLIOTT DEFENDANT - D 1					Date of Birth: 01/1900
Citation	Count	Description	Disp. Date	Disposition	
C30083575	1	LOCAL CHARGE	03/02/2011	JDGMT ACQUITTAL/NOT RESP	

Case Activity		
Date	Description	Party
03/02/2011	ACQUIT-NOT RESPONSIBLE CODE 30	D 1
03/02/2011	CASE COMPLETED/CLOSED	D 1
03/02/2011	DEFENDANT APPEARED	D 1

The above gives reasons for me not to live in Arizona. The people of Arizona, do not do a thing about the above, and that is the way I feel Arizona's like to be govern if not willing to change the above.

The one who is trying to extract payment from my folks for not needed termite service is;

Pat Pryor

**[pat.realtor@cox.net](mailto:pat.realtor@cox.net)**

**cell - 602-791-2121**

**6008 E. McKellips**

**Mesa, Az. 85206**

**<http://www.pat-realtor.com/>**

She does not seem to understand that my folks are not paying termite control, when no termites were found.

Since I can only support my folks about once a week a month, would you directors foreword this to other bridge players which knows the father and son team of Mark and Loren Elliott and contact this relator and tell her that my folks Loren and Alma are not paying for fraudulent termite control.

It would help also if you could post this so people could help convince this realtor, the folk are not paying for the not needed termite control.

Sincerely

Mark Elliott